

## Academic Omertà 2

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Omert%C3%A0>

**Omertà** /oo'mɛərtə/ (Italian pronunciation: [omer'ta])<sup>[1]</sup> is a Southern Italian code of honor and code of silence that places importance on silence in the face of questioning by authorities or outsiders; non-cooperation with the government, or outsiders; ....

Academic Omertà is so complete that it has taken a third of a century to establish its existence. However, I have now assembled some ten professors who may or may not help us to prove its existence.

Our December 1978 article "Displacement Current" <http://www.ivorcatt.org/icrwiworld78dec1.htm> should have forced the academics to consider their position, since it pointed to a fatal flaw in classical electromagnetism, but it failed to achieve traction. Virtually no accredited "expert" commented.

Come forward through a barren 30 years, and the same did not happen to cattq <http://www.ivorcatt.co.uk/cattq.htm> . Something like ten professors have been ensnared by it. This is sufficient to establish the pattern of behaviour of those who could, and should, do something about the decline of science.

Their names are; Professors Pelosi, Yakovlev, Moghaddam, Pieraccini, Spargo, Seleri, Pepper, Oppo, Davies, Josephson ...

Their problem is, if it exists, whether the Academic Code of Omertà calls for silence on Cattq or not. Is it within the canon of today's "legitimate" "science"? (Note that there is now peer reviewed comment on cattq <http://www.ivorcatt.co.uk/x311a.htm>.) In the past, all the listed professors have been involved in cattq.

They are asked to comment on whether it was right for Ned Smith, a PhD student, to be told to erase mention of cattq in his reports or the IEEE "research" money would dry up. The professors are required to comment on this, and on the warning in one of their novels; . <http://www.ivorcatt.co.uk/x6611.htm> .

Failure to comment on cattq will be taken to be a comment.

This is advance warning. The real approach will be by letter, to avoid confusion caused by failure to open emails.

Obviously, one or more of the listed professors might see fit to comment immediately, not waiting for their letter. Is this a scandal which requires action? By whom? Are all the professors not in a position to say anything, or do anything?

Ivor Catt 20.10.2018

<http://www.ivorcatt.co.uk/x78q.htm>

A very extensive discussion of Catt and "The Catt Question". Here we have one eminent Italian professor talking up Catt ("misunderstood genius"), only for Catt be scoffed at a few decades later by three Florence professors, including an incompetent "answer" to "The Catt Question" by **Pieraccini** published by the IEEE, which has blocked Catt for 50 years. Catt's reply to the Italians was butchered before being published.

<http://www.ivorcatt.co.uk/x59t.htm>